

### **Lillian Roth (Digital Signage/Loss of Privilege)**

The Elections Board unanimously voted and found that Lillian Roth was not in violation of the digital signage rule update and not in violation of her loss of privilege sanctions. Lillian Roth had previous communication with the administration in charge of signage and had informed them it had been approved. After the mid-campaign rule change, Lillian Roth was swift to notify the same person to have it removed; the removal process isn't something that instantly happens. Lillian Roth took the necessary action to make sure it would not be violating her blackout period.

---

### **Lillian Roth - Notice to SGA (Loss of Privilege)**

The Elections Board unanimously voted that Lillian Roth was not in violation of her loss of privilege sanctions and Article III. Section 2E for the specific language of "When the clock hits 8pm, feel free to begin posting about my campaign again!". The board realizes Lillian Roth used this specific language to all organizations she notified of her sanctions, per the Elections Board requirement of the loss of privilege. The specific language used was not in the nature of campaigning at an SGA event or SGA office and was meant to emphasize the "8 p.m." cutoff of her sanctions.

---

### **Capstone Coalition (Endorsement Disclaimer)**

The Elections Board unanimously voted the the Capstone Coalition was not in violation of Article III. Section 4A (a). The screenshot of the endorsement sent to the board dated 3 minutes after posting did not include the disclaimer. 7 minutes later the endorsement had been edited to include the disclaimer and had been included in the Facebook post comments. Due to precedent regarding the disclaimer, the organization would receive a reminder to include it before sanctions would be brought against it. The Capstone Coalition acted swiftly without action by the board and had included the disclaimer.

---

### **Gene Fulmer (Use of Flowers)**

The Elections Board voted 4-2 with one member absent that Gene Fulmer was not in violation of Article III. Section 3C for providing organization leaders with a rose to thank them for speaking at their organization meeting.

Note: The Elections Board deliberated on this violation report for an extended period of time. The collective sense was that the roses distributed were (1) listed on his financial disclosure form and (2) found to be of negligible value.

The board discussed at length both the spirit and definition of "tangible value" and how each should be weighed in cases brought to the board under this clause in the Elections Manual. We believe that for the sake of consistency, each case must be evaluated separately and that the spirit of "tangible value" must be assessed each time in light of precedent.

## **Lillian Roth (Chill with Lill)**

The Elections Board has unanimously voted that Lillian Roth is not in violation of Article III. Section 3C for her use of popsicles handed out during her Chill with Lill event and the alleged report stating they were of tangible value to affect a student's vote.

Note: The Elections Board found that because Lillian Roth had completed the GUP process for events on campus with food, had listed the popsicles at .14 cents a piece on her financial disclosure form, and were available to everyone on campus; these popsicles were found to be considered of negligible value and therefore would not violate Article III. Section 3C.

---

## **Gene Fulmer (Granola)**

The Elections Board has unanimously voted that Gene Fulmer is not in violation of Article III. Section 3C for his use of granola bars handed out during his event in the Ferguson Center.

Note: The Elections Board found that because Gene Fulmer had completed the GUP process for events on campus with food, had listed the granola bars at on his financial disclosure form, and were available to everyone on campus; these granola bars were found to be considered of negligible value and therefore would not violate Article III. Section 3C.